Scan & Deliver - VALLEY

4/18/2013 3:45:15 PM

Oregon State University Libraries

Call #: QL750 .A6 v.36 pt.1-3 (1988 FEB-JUN)

Location: Compact Shelves



Journal Title: Animal Behaviour

Volume: 36 Issue: 3

Month/Year: 1988 Pages: 946-948

Article Author: O'Hara, Richard K Article Title: Hyla regilla and Rana pretiosa tadpoles fail to display kin

recognition behaviour

Borrower: Dang, Truc T Email: dangtr@onid.orst.edu Delivery location: VALLEY

NOTICE:

When available, we have included the copyright statement provided in the work from which this copy was made.

If the work from which this copy was made did not include a formal copyright notice, this work may still be protected by copyright law. Uses may be allowed with permission from the rights-holder, or if the copyright on the work has expired, or if the use is "fair use" or within another exemption. The user of this work is responsible for determining lawful use

Library Contact Information:

Valley Library (541) 737-4488 valley.ill@oregonstate.edu http://osulibrary.oregonstate.edu/ill/

Pagers:		Scanners:		
Initials:	NOS:	Lacking:	Initials:	Date:
BC: Checked Table of	of Contents:	Checked Index:		

Hyla regilla and Rana pretiosa Tadpoles Fail to Display Kin Recognition Behaviour

Larval anurans have emerged as one of the most interesting and well-studied of the vertebrate groups that display kin recognition behaviour (i.e. differential association between siblings and nonsiblings). Each of the five species that have been tested previously (Bufo americanus, B. boreas, Rana cascadae, R. sylvatica and R. aurora) displayed some ability to distinguish siblings from nonsiblings (e.g. reviews in Waldman 1981, 1984; Blaustein et al. 1987; Blaustein, in press). However, each differed in how the behaviour becomes expressed ontogenetically. Interspecific comparisons of anuran kin recognition are valuable in generating and testing hypotheses concerning functional significance and in delineating relationships between patterns of kin recognition behaviour and life history and ecological variables.

This paper reports results of sibling recognition tests in the larvae of western spotted frogs, Rana pretiosa, and Pacific treefrogs, Hyla regilla. Comparisons of these species with the three others we have studied is especially meaningful because we have employed the same rearing and experimental procedures for each. Also, R. pretiosa is closely related phylogenetically to R. cascadae and R. aurora (Wallace et al. 1973; Case 1978; Kluge & Mickevich 1979), two species that display kin association behaviour.

Two amplectant pairs of *H. regilla* were collected from a pond in Benton County, Oregon and were housed in separate aquaria in the laboratory. On 25 February 1986, each pair laid and fertilized over 200 eggs (clutches A and B). Immediately after hatching on 1 March, larvae of each clutch were transferred to rearing regimes. They were reared either with siblings (groups A and B) or with an equal mixture of siblings and non-siblings from each of two clutches (groups Am and Bm). In the mixed-rearing treatment, tadpoles from each clutch were placed on opposite sides of an aquarium divided by 1·5-mm gauge plastic mesh.

Two clutches of *R. pretiosa* were collected from a pond in Crook County, Oregon. Each clutch was housed separately in an aquarium until hatching on 16 April 1985. At this time, tadpoles of each clutch were reared with siblings (groups A and B), in a mixed group of siblings and non-siblings (groups Am and Bm), or in isolation (groups Ai and Bi). Two additional clutches were obtained from the same population in April 1986 and tadpoles from these clutches were reared in isolation (groups Ci and Di) or in a mixed group (groups Cm and Dm). Rearing and maintenance procedures for *H. regilla* and *R. pretiosa* were identical with those used for *R*.

cascadae, R. aurora and Bufo boreas tadpoles (e.g. O'Hara & Blaustein 1981, 1982; Blaustein & O'Hara 1986).

Procedures for testing association preferences were the same as those we have used in choice tests with other species (e.g. O'Hara & Blaustein 1981. 1982; Blaustein & O'Hara 1986). Individual tadpoles reared previously with siblings were given a choice of spending time near a group of familiar siblings or a group of unfamiliar non-siblings (stimulus groups). Tadpoles reared previously in mixed groups or in isolation were allowed to associate with unfamiliar siblings and unfamiliar non-siblings. The testing tank $(122 \times 44 \times 30 \text{ cm})$ was partitioned into four compartments. To hold stimulus groups, a partition of 1.5-mm plastic mesh was placed 15 cm from each end. The central portion of the tank was divided longitudinally by an opaque, water-tight partition. Thus, we were able to test two tadpoles simultaneously (but independently) for sibling group preferences. Elsewhere, we have reported the results of control tests for the testing procedures and apparatus (e.g. O'Hara & Blaustein 1981; Blaustein & O'Hara 1987).

Stimulus groups of 30 (H. regilla) or 25 (R. pretiosa) tadpoles, matched for size and developmental stage (Gosner 1960), were used in each test. The time in seconds spent by each test tadpole in each half of the tank was recorded for four 5-min trials, at 10-min intervals. Between tests, stimulus groups were alternated from one end to the other and the tank was rinsed thoroughly. No individual was tested more than once and the same stimulus tadpoles were used in six consecutive tests and then discarded. The Wilcoxon signed-ranks test was used to analyse the total amount of time spent by tadpoles in sibling versus non-sibling halves of the test tank. We tested differences in the amount of time spent on the sibling portion of the test tank from a hypothetical random time (600 s) expected under the null hypothesis. The binomial test was used to determine whether numbers of tadpoles that spent most of their time (more than 600 s) on the sibling side of the test tank differed from random expectation. All statistical tests were twotailed.

Regardless of how test individuals were reared, neither R. pretiosa nor H. regilla tadpoles associated preferentially with their siblings (Table I). In late tests, however, R. pretiosa tadpoles from group B exhibited a statistically significant bias toward non-siblings. We have no explanation for these latter, unexpected results.

Kin recognition has now been investigated in seven species of larval anurans. Of these, R. pretiosa and H. regilla tadpoles are the only

Table I. Sibling association preferences in R. pretiosa and H. regilla tadpoles

			No. spending most of time toward		Seconds out of 1200 spent on sibling side		
Rearing treatment	Sibling group	Age (days)	Developmental stage	Siblings	Non-siblings	$ar{X}$	SD
R. pretiosa							
Siblings					_		1167
Early tests	Α	15-22	26-27	12	8	621.6	115.7
	В	15-22	26–28	10	10	618.8	138.6
Late tests	Α	48-53	38-40	9	11	615-2	136.5
	В	48-52	38–41	5	15	543.8	112-1*
Mixed .							
Early tests	Cm	12-20	26-28	12	8	625.3	127-2
Larry tests	Dm	13-20	26–27	9	11	583.8	99.4
Late tests	Am	27-32	32–34	11	9	609.5	124.6
Late tests	Bm	28-33	33–35	10	10	582.8	200.6
Isolates							
15014165	Ai	22-27	29-31	13	7	664.6	137.8
12.7	Bi	22-27	29-30	11	9	620.8	174.7
* Was .	Ci	20-27	30-32	11	8	587.8	136.9
*	Di	21-27	29-32	9	11	609.1	149-2
	Di	21-27	2, 32	-			
H. regilla							
Siblings						coo o	100.4
Early tests	Α	12-20	26–28	9	11	609.2	190.4
All and the second seco	В	12-20	26–28	9	11	551.4	168.3
Late tests	Α	37-46	37–40	10	10	595.0	155.0
-3	В	37–47	37–40	8	12	574-4	161-2
Mixed							105.5
Early tests	Am	13-20	26-28	10	10	605.6	185.5
,	Bm	13-21	26-28	9	11	607.8	218.5
Late tests	Am	38-47	38-40	11	9	610.2	179.2
	Bm	38-45	37-40	12	7	637.0	186-4

See text for an explanation of sibling groups.

anurans that show no kin association behaviour. The differences in behaviour displayed by anuran larvae may reflect differences in certain natural history traits (O'Hara & Blaustein 1985; Blaustein, in press). For example, larvae of R. cascadae, B. boreas and B. americanus are highly social in nature and in laboratory tests (O'Hara & Blaustein 1982, 1985; Waldman 1982). However, H. regilla tadpoles also exhibit a strong attraction to conspecifics (either siblings or non-siblings) in laboratory tests, whereas R. pretiosa tadpoles do not (O'Hara & Blaustein, unpublished data). To interpret the biological significance of kin association behaviour in anurans it will be necessary to consider other ecological and natural history traits of a species, in

addition to sociality. Among these are larval dispersal and spacing patterns, conditions surrounding early development, habitat quality and structure, food availability and distribution, population size and density, and predators. The failure of *R. pretiosa* and *H. regilla* to associate with kin may provide us with valuable comparative information for discerning patterns in kin recognition among larval anurans. In seeking causative explanations for kin recognition, it will be important to study not only those species that possess such abilities, but also those that lack the behaviour.

We are most grateful to Mary Hermon, Casey Huckins and Meredith Humphries for helping us in the laboratory. We also thank Rick Blaine, Louie

^{*} P < 0.05.

Renault, Vic Laszlo and Ilsa Laszlo for their help. We are grateful to NSF (BNS-84-06256) and the National Geographic Society for their generous support.

RICHARD K. O'HARA ANDREW R. BLAUSTEIN

Department of Zoology, Oregon State University, Corvallis, Oregon 97331, U.S.A.

References

- Blaustein, A. R. In press. Ecological correlates and potential functions of kin recognition and kin association in anuran larvae. Behav. Genet.
- Blaustein, A. R., Bekoff, M. & Daniels, T. J. 1987. Kin recognition in vertebrates (excluding primates): empirical evidence. In: Kin Recognition in Animals (Ed. by D. J. C. Fletcher & C. D. Michener), pp. 287-331. London: John Wiley.
- Blaustein, A. R. & O'Hara, R. K. 1986. An investigation of kin recognition in red-legged frog (*Rana aurora*) tadpoles. J. Zool., Lond., 209, 347-353.
- Blaustein, A. R. & O'Hara, R. K. 1987. Aggregation behaviour in *Rana cascadae* tadpoles: association preferences among wild aggregations and responses to non-kin. *Anim. Behav.*, 35, 1549-1555.

- Case, S. M. 1978. Biochemical systematics of members of the genus *Rana* native to western North America. *Syst. Zool.*, 27, 299-311.
- Gosner, K. L. 1960. A simplified table for staging anuran embryos and larvae with notes on identification. *Herpetologica*, 16, 183-190.
- Kluge, A. G. & Mickevich, M. F. 1979. Paraphyly of the Rana boylii species group. Syst. Zool., 28, 627-634.
- O'Hara, R. K. & Blaustein, A. R. 1981. An investigation of sibling recognition in *R. cascadae* tadpoles. *Anim. Behav.*, 29, 1121-1126.
- O'Hara, R. K. & Blaustein, A. R. 1982. Kin preference behavior in *Bufo boreas* tadpoles. *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.*, 11, 43-49.
- O'Hara, R. K. & Blaustein, A. R. 1985. Rana cascadae tadpoles aggregate with siblings: an experimental field study. Oecologia (Berl.), 67, 44-51.
- Waldman, B. 1981. Sibling recognition in toad tadpoles: the role of experience. Z. Tierpsychol., 56, 341-358.
- Waldman, B. 1982. Sibling association among schooling toad tadpoles: field evidence and implications. Anim. Behav., 30, 700-713.
- Waldman, B. 1984. Kin recognition and sibling association among wood frog (Rana sylvatica) tadpoles. Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol., 14, 171-180.
- Wallace, D. G., King, M. C. & Wilson, A. C. 1973.
 Albumin differences among ranid frogs: taxonomic and phylogenetic implications. Syst. Zool., 22, 1-13.

(Received 20 October 1987; revised 17 November 1987; MS. number: AS-501)